

Date of decision:14-3-1996

For Approval and Signature

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. K. KESHOTE

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India,1950 or any order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

Coram: S.K.KESHOTE,J
(14-3-1996)

ORAL JUDGMENT:

None present for the petitioner.

Ms. Sejal Mandavia for respondent No.1

Mr. G.N. Desai for respondent No.2

The matter was called out in the first and second

rounds and finally taken up for hearing in the third round. None appeared for the petitioner. Mr. G.N. Desai appearing for respondent No.2 made statement before the Court that the writ petition has become infructuous.

2. The petitioner prayed for direction to respondent No.2 to hold general election of councillors to Baroda Municipal Corporation, and also prayed for other consequential reliefs. Mr. G.N.Desai states that after filing of the present writ petition general elections of the councillors to Baroda Municipal Corporation were held for two terms, and presently there is an elected body. He further states that the petitioner himself is presently a Minister for State in the State Government. Looking to the prayers made in the petition, and the fact that elections were already held, this writ petition has become infructuous. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. Rule discharged.